

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/779,995	TITUS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) John Dana Hubbard.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed the changes listed in the examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance. John Dana Hubbard then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)